

REMARKS

Claims 3-4, 8-9, 11-12, 15-16, and 19 are pending in the application. Claims 3, 4, 8, and 9 have been amended. New claim 19 has been added. Support for the claim amendments can be located at page 7, lines 26-28 and page 7, line 36 to page 8, line 2 and in Fig. 1.

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 3, 8, and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 (Selitrennikoff) in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0156965 (Gusler).

Selitrennikoff is directed to a system and method for adjusting an operating system configuration according to changes in hardware components of a client computer. According to Selitrennikoff, during a preliminary connection between a client computer and a server computer, the system identifies hardware components that are new and must be supported by the operating system for bootup to occur. After the client computer identifies its new hardware components, the information regarding the new components is sent to the server. The server then locates the system components or device drivers that support the new hardware components and stores them in a specified repository at the server.

Gusler is directed to backing up data. According to Gusler, a backup image of data in a computer is created and stored on the computer. A server then requests the backup image from the computer.

In the present invention, the client includes an agent that performs installation of data on the client by reading an execution script residing on a server computer. For example, the agent 12 can be an independent program for performing processing in the client according to the execution instructions defined by the execution script S on the server. See specification of the present invention, page 7, lines 26-28 and page 7, lines 36 to page 8, line 2. See *also* Fig. 1.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 3 and 8 are patentable over the references, as neither Selitrennikoff nor Gusler, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests, "said data being installed on said client by an agent residing on said client according to a reading of an execution script residing on the server," as recited in the claims of the present invention.

Selitrennikoff clearly states that the operating system is simply downloaded to the client computer. Therefore, Selitrennikoff does not install data on the client by an agent located on the client according to a reading of an execution script on the server.

As Gusler relates to transferring data from a client computer to a server computer, Gusler adds no relevant information to Selitrennikoff. Therefore, independent claims 3 and 8 are patentable over the references, as neither reference, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests the above-identified feature of the present invention.

As dependent claim 11 depends from independent claim 3, the dependent claim is patentable over the reference for at least the reasons presented for the independent claim.

As Rodriguez merely discloses that an updated image is sent to the client computer, Rodriguez adds no relevant information to Selitrennikoff. Therefore, claims 4 and 9 are patentable over the references, as neither of the references, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests the above-identified feature of the claims of the present invention.

As claim 12 depends from independent claim 4, claim 12 is patentable over Selitrennikoff, in view of Rodriguez in further view of Gusler for the reasons presented above.

As Cheffetz discloses backing up data and program files located on workstations onto a centralized backup media, Cheffetz is not concerned with installing data on a client computer and therefore does not add any relevant information to the combination. Hence, claim 15, via independent claim 3, is patentable over the references.

As claim 16 depends from independent claim 4, claim 16, via independent claim 4, is patentable over the cited references for at least the reasons presented for independent claim 4.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 19 is patentable over the references, as none of the references, alone or in combination, teach or suggest, "instructing an agent residing on the client to install data on the client according to a reading of a script residing on the server."

If there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

Serial No. 09/811,584

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 11/27/06

By: 

Reginald D. Lucas

Registration No. 46,883

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501